

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,914	09/17/2003	Futoshi Nakamura	008312-0305894 2649		
909 7	7590 03/23/2004		EXAMINER		
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP			RICKMAN, HOLLY C		
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WCLLAN, V	1 22102		1773	1773	
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/663,914	NAKAMURA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Holly Rickman	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 No.	ovember 2003.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 8,9,15,17 and 18 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8,9 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 17 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers	·				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) accepted or b) objectorized or b) objectorized accepted in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>09/808,426</u> . ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/663,914

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 8-9 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Kiuchi et al. (US 5589262).

Kiuchi et al. disclose a magnetic recording medium having a first soft magnetic layer with a first crystal structure, a second soft magnetic layer with a second crystal structure not equivalent to that of the first layer, and a perpendicular magnetic recording layer thereon (see Figures 12-13; col. 9, lines 14-23; col. 20, lines 64-67). The reference teaches that the specific examples shown in Figures 12 and 13 have a total soft magnetic layer thickness of 5000 Å (col. 9, lines 37-40). However, the reference teaches that the thickness of the soft magnetic underlayer affects the recording efficiency of the medium (Figure 49). The reference teaches that a recording efficiency of 28% or greater is sufficient and as shown in Figure 49, this value varies depending on the soft magnetic underlayer thickness and the permeability of the soft magnetic material (see col. 16, line 49 to col. 17, line 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/663,914

Art Unit: 1773

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine a suitable thickness for the soft magnetic underlayer structure shown by Kiuchi et al. in Figures 12 and 13 depending on the permeability of the material and the minimum desired recording efficiency. This optimization of thickness would have been obvious since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 17-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J. Thibodeau can be reached on (571) 272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Holly Rickman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

hr March 12, 2004